

ISSN 1000-0933
CN 11-2031/Q

生态学报

Acta Ecologica Sinica



第32卷 第16期 Vol.32 No.16 **2012**

中国生态学会
中国科学院生态环境研究中心
科学出版社

主办
出版



中国科学院科学出版基金资助出版

生态学报

(SHENGTAI XUEBAO)

第 32 卷 第 16 期 2012 年 8 月 (半月刊)

目 次

基于生物多样性保护的兴安落叶松与白桦最佳混交比例——以阿尔山林区为例·····	李 菁, 骆有庆, 石 娟 (4943)
中国能源消费碳排放的时空特征·····	舒娱琴 (4950)
黄土丘陵沟壑区坡面尺度土壤水分空间变异及影响因素·····	姚雪玲, 傅伯杰, 吕一河 (4961)
新疆艾比湖流域土壤有机质的空间分布特征及其影响因素·····	王合玲, 张辉国, 秦 璐, 等 (4969)
雅鲁藏布江山南宽谷风沙化土地土壤养分和粒度特征·····	李海东, 沈渭寿, 邹长新, 等 (4981)
一株溶藻细菌对海洋原甲藻的溶藻效应·····	史荣君, 黄洪辉, 齐占会, 等 (4993)
种形态对黑藻和竹叶眼子菜有机酸含量的影响·····	钟正燕, 王宏镔, 王海娟, 等 (5002)
七项河流附着硅藻指数在东江的适用性评估·····	邓培雁, 雷远达, 刘 威, 等 (5014)
杭州湾滨海湿地不同植被类型沉积物磷形态变化特征·····	梁 威, 邵学新, 吴 明, 等 (5025)
剪形臂尾轮虫形态的时空变化及其与生态因子间的关系·····	葛雅丽, 席貽龙, 马 杰, 等 (5034)
太湖流域河流水质状况对景观背景的响应·····	周 文, 刘茂松, 徐 驰, 等 (5043)
荒漠植物白刺属 4 个物种的生殖分配比较·····	李清河, 辛智鸣, 高婷婷, 等 (5054)
臭氧浓度升高对香樟叶片光合色素及抗过氧化的影响及其氮素响应·····	牛俊峰, 张巍巍, 李 丽, 等 (5062)
不同密度下凤仙花重要形态性状与花朵数的关系·····	田旭平, 常 洁, 李娟娟, 等 (5071)
五种高速公路边坡绿化植物的生理特性及抗旱性综合评价·····	谭雪红, 高艳鹏, 郭小平, 等 (5076)
散孔材与环孔材树种枝干、叶水力学特性的比较研究·····	左力翔, 李俊辉, 李秧秧, 等 (5087)
北京城区行道树国槐叶面尘分布及重金属污染特征·····	戴斯迪, 马克明, 宝 乐 (5095)
南亚热带米老排人工林碳贮量及其分配特征·····	刘 恩, 刘世荣 (5103)
植物生活史型定量划分及其权重配置方法——以四棱豆生活史型划分为例·····	赵则海 (5110)
半干旱区湿地-干草原交错带边界判定及其变化·····	王 晓, 张克斌, 杨晓晖, 等 (5121)
氮肥运筹对晚播冬小麦氮素和干物质积累与转运的影响·····	吴光磊, 郭立月, 崔正勇, 等 (5128)
氮肥形态对冬小麦根际土壤氮素生理群活性及无机氮含量的影响·····	熊淑萍, 车芳芳, 马新明, 等 (5138)
基于数字相机的冬小麦物候和碳交换监测·····	周 磊, 何洪林, 孙晓敏, 等 (5146)
黄土高原半湿润区气候变化对冬小麦生长发育及产量的影响·····	姚玉璧, 王润元, 杨金虎, 等 (5154)
基于土地破坏的矿区生态风险评价: 理论与方法·····	常 青, 邱 瑶, 谢苗苗, 等 (5164)
基于生态位的山地农村居民点适宜度评价·····	秦天天, 齐 伟, 李云强, 等 (5175)
氯虫苯甲酰胺对黑肩绿盲蝽实验种群的影响·····	杨 洪, 王 召, 金道超 (5184)
6 种植物次生物质对斜纹夜蛾解毒酶活性的影响·····	王瑞龙, 孙玉林, 梁笑婷, 等 (5191)
云南元江芒果园桔小实蝇成虫日活动规律及空间分布格局·····	叶文丰, 李 林, 孙来亮, 等 (5199)
重庆市蝴蝶多样性环境健康指示作用和环境监测评价体系构建·····	邓合黎, 马 琦, 李爱民 (5208)
专论与综述	
生态系统服务竞争与协同研究进展·····	李 鹏, 姜鲁光, 封志明, 等 (5219)
中国沿海无柄蔓足类研究进展·····	严 涛, 黎祖福, 胡煜峰, 等 (5230)
冰雪灾害对森林的影响·····	郭淑红, 薛 立 (5242)
不同干扰因素对森林和湿地温室气体通量影响的研究进展·····	杨 平, 全 川 (5254)
采石场废弃地的生态重建研究进展·····	杨振意, 薛 立, 许建新 (5264)
研究简报	
基于地统计学和 CFI 样地的浙江省森林碳空间分布研究·····	张 峰, 杜 群, 葛宏立, 等 (5275)

期刊基本参数: CN 11-2031/Q * 1981 * m * 16 * 344 * zh * P * ¥ 70.00 * 1510 * 36 * 2012-08



封面图说: 秋色藏野驴群——秋天已经降临在海拔 4200 多米的黄河源区, 红色的西伯利亚蓼(生于盐碱荒地或砂质含盐碱土壤)铺满大地, 间有的高原苔草也泛出了金黄, 行走在上面的藏野驴们顾不上欣赏这美丽的秋色, 只是抓紧时间, 在严冬到来之前取食, 添肥增膘以求渡过青藏高原即将到来的漫长冬天。

彩图提供: 陈建伟教授 北京林业大学 E-mail: cites.chenjw@163.com

ACTA ECOLOGICA SINICA Vol. 32, No. 16 August, 2012 (Semimonthly)

CONTENTS

The optimum mixture ratio of larch and birch in terms of biodiversity conservation; a case study in Aershan forest area	LI Jing, LUO Youqing, SHI Juan (4943)
Spatiotemporal characteristics of carbon emissions from energy consumption in China	SHU Yuqin (4950)
Spatial patterns of soil moisture at transect scale in the Loess Plateau of China	YAO Xueling, FU Bojie, LÜ Yihe (4961)
The characteristics of the spatial distribution of soil organic matter and factors influencing it in Ebinur Lake Basin of Xinjiang Autonomous Region, China	WANG Heling, ZHANG Huiguo, QIN Lu, et al (4969)
Soil nutrients content and grain size fraction of aeolian sandy land in the Shannan Wide Valley of the Yarlung Zangbo River, China	LI Haidong, SHEN Weishou, ZOU Changxin, et al (4981)
Algicidal activity against <i>Proocentrum micans</i> by a marine bacterium isolated from a HABs area, South China	SHI Rongjun, HUANG Honghui, QI Zhanhui, et al (4993)
Effects of arsenic speciations on contents of main organic acids in <i>Hydrilla verticillata</i> and <i>Potamogeton malaianus</i>	ZHONG Zhengyan, WANG Hongbin, WANG Haijuan, et al (5002)
Exploration of benthic diatom indices to evaluate water quality in rivers in the Dongjiang basin	DENG Peiyan, LEI Yuanda, LIU Wei, et al (5014)
Phosphorus fraction in the sediments from different vegetation type in Hangzhou bay coastal wetlands	LIANG Wei, SHAO Xuexin, WU Ming, et al (5025)
Spatio-temporal variation of morphometric characteristics of <i>Brachionus forficula</i> in relation to ecological factors	GE Yali, XI Yilong, MA Jie, et al (5034)
Response of river water quality to background characteristics of landscapes in Taihu Lake basin	ZHOU Wen, LIU Maosong, XU Chi, et al (5043)
Reproductive allocation in four desert species of the genus <i>Nitraria</i> L.	LI Qinghe, XIN Zhiming, GAO Tingting, et al (5054)
Effects of elevated ozone on foliar chlorophyll content and antioxidant capacity in leaves of <i>Cinnamomum camphora</i> under enhanced nitrogen loads	NIU Junfeng, ZHANG Weiwei, LI Li, et al (5062)
Correlation analysis between floret numbers and important traits of <i>Impatiens balsamina</i> under different planting density	TIAN Xuping, CHANG Jie, LI Juanjuan, et al (5071)
Physiological characteristics and comprehensive evaluation of drought resistance in five plants used for roadside ecological restoration	TAN Xuehong, GAO Yanpeng, GUO Xiaoping, et al (5076)
Comparison of hydraulic traits in branches and leaves of diffuse- and ring-porous species	ZUO Lixiang, LI Junhui, LI Yangyang, et al (5087)
Distribution and heavy metal character of foliar dust on roadside tree <i>Sophora japonica</i> of urban area in Beijing	DAI Sidi, MA Keming, BAO Le (5095)
The research of carbon storage and distribution feature of the <i>Mytilaria laosensis</i> plantation in south sub-tropical area	LIU En, LIU Shirong (5103)
The novel methods of quantitative classification of plant life cycle forms and weight collocation; taking classification of life cycle forms of <i>Psophocarpus tetragonolobus</i> as an example	ZHAO Zehai (5110)
Research on boundary definition and changes of wetland-dry grassland ...	WANG Xiao, ZHANG Kebin, YANG Xiaohui, et al (5121)
Differential effects of nitrogen managements on nitrogen, dry matter accumulation and transportation in late-sowing winter wheat	WU Guanglei, GUO Liyue, CUI Zhengyong, et al (5128)
Effects of nitrogen form on the activity of nitrogen bacteria group and inorganic nitrogen in rhizosphere soil of winter wheat	XIONG Shuping, CHE Fangfang, MA Xinming, et al (5138)
Using digital repeat photography to model winter wheat phenology and photosynthetic CO ₂ uptake	ZHOU Lei, HE Honglin, SUN Xiaomin, et al (5146)
Impacts of climate change on growth and yield of winter wheat in the semi-humid region of the Loess Plateau	YAO Yubi, WANG Runyuan, YANG Jinhu, et al (5154)
Theory and method of ecological risk assessment for mining areas based on the land destruction	CHANG Qing, QIU Yao, XIE Miaomiao, et al (5164)
Suitability evaluation of rural residential land based on niche theory in mountainous area	QIN Tiantian, QI Wei, LI Yunqiang, et al (5175)
Effects of chlorantraniliprole on experimental populations of <i>Cyrtorhinus lividipennis</i> (Reuter) (Hemiptera: Miridae)	YANG Hong, WANG Zhao, JIN Daochao (5184)
Effects of six plant secondary metabolites on activities of detoxification enzymes in <i>Spodoptera litura</i>	WANG Ruilong, SUN Yulin, LIANG Xiaoting, et al (5191)
Daily activity and spatial distribution pattern of the oriental fruit fly, <i>Bactrocera dorsalis</i> (Diptera: Tephritidae) in mango orchard, Yuanjiang, Yunnan	YE Wenfeng, LI Lin, SUN Lailiang, et al (5199)
The establishment of the indication on environmental health of butterfly and of the environmental monitoring evaluation system in Chongqing	DENG Heli, MA Qi, LI Aimin (5208)
Review and Monograph	
Research progress on trade-offs and synergies of ecosystem services; an overview	LI Peng, JIANG Luguang, FENG Zhiming, et al (5219)
A review on the balanomorph barnacles in the coastal waters of China	YAN Tao, LI Zufu, HU Yufeng, et al (5230)
Effects of ice-snow damage on forests	GUO Shuhong, XUE Li (5242)
Greenhouse gas flux from forests and wetlands; a review of the effects of disturbance	YANG Ping, TONG Chuan (5254)
Advances in ecology restoration of abandoned quarries	YANG Zhenyi, XUE Li, XU Jianxin (5264)
Scientific Note	
Spatial distribution of forest carbon in Zhejiang Province with geostatistics based on CFI sample plots	ZHANG Feng, DU Qun, GE Hongli, et al (5275)

DOI: 10.5846/stxb201110281610

左力翔, 李俊辉, 李秧秧, 赵丽敏. 散孔材与环孔材树种枝干、叶水力学特性的比较研究. 生态学报, 2012, 32(16): 5087-5094.

Zuo L X, Li J H, Li Y Y, Zhao L M. Comparison of hydraulic traits in branches and leaves of diffuse- and ring-porous species. Acta Ecologica Sinica, 2012, 32(16): 5087-5094.

散孔材与环孔材树种枝干、叶水力学特性的比较研究

左力翔^{1,2}, 李俊辉³, 李秧秧^{2,3,*}, 赵丽敏^{1,2}

(1. 西北农林科技大学资环学院, 杨凌 712100;

2. 西北农林科技大学黄土高原土壤侵蚀与旱地农业国家重点实验室, 杨凌 712100;

3. 中国科学院水利部水土保持研究所, 杨凌 712100)

摘要:为揭示散孔材与环孔材树种树木水分生理特性的差异,选取了常见的 3 种散孔材落叶树种(毛白杨、法国梧桐和樱花)和 3 种环孔材落叶树种(刺槐、合欢和白蜡),研究了其枝干与叶水力学性质的差异及其协调性。结果表明:3 种环孔材树种枝干横截面积基础上的最大比导水率($K_{s,max}$)大于 3 种散孔材树种,但其木质部对空穴化的脆弱性($P50_{branch}$)高于散孔材树种,6 种树木枝干的水分传输能力和抵抗空穴化能力之间存在一种相互制约的权衡关系。3 种散孔材与 3 种环孔材树种的叶最大水力导度($K_{l,max}$)和水力脆弱性($P50_{leaf}$)并无显著差异;对于 3 种散孔材树种,叶的水力脆弱性要高于枝干,但对 3 种环孔材树种而言,枝干的水力脆弱性要高于叶。6 种树木枝干和叶的水力学性质(K_{max} 、 $P50$)之间并无相关关系。这些结果表明:散孔材与环孔材树种的枝干水力学特性有明显差异,但叶水力学特性无差异;枝干与叶水力学性质之间是相互独立的。

关键词:散孔材;环孔材;枝干和叶;水力学性质

Comparison of hydraulic traits in branches and leaves of diffuse- and ring-porous species

ZUO Lixiang^{1,2}, LI Junhui³, LI Yangyang^{2,3,*}, ZHAO Limin^{1,2}

1 College of Resources and Environment, Northwest Agriculture and Forestry University, Yangling 712100, China

2 State Key Laboratory of Soil Erosion and Dryland Farming on the Loess Plateau, Northwest Agriculture and Forestry University, Yangling 712100, China

3 Institute of Soil and Water Conservation, Chinese Academy of Sciences and Ministry of Water Resources, Yangling 712100, China

Abstract: Angiosperm tree species in temperate regions are broadly divided into diffuse-porous and ring-porous species based on their xylem anatomy. Diffuse-porous species show very little distinction between the diameter of vessel elements in early versus late wood, while ring-porous species have a bimodal distribution of vessel diameters associated with large, early season vessels and small late season vessels. These anatomical differences result in differences between these two kinds of tree species in stem water transport capacity and in the vulnerability to drought-induced cavitation. However, it is not clear if diffuse-porous and ring-porous species show differences in leaf hydraulic traits. Water transport resistance in leaves accounts for 30%—80% of the total hydraulic resistance of the whole-plant water transport pathway, and relatively few studies have focused on leaf hydraulics owing to methodological barriers; hence, elucidating the differences between diffuse-porous versus ring-porous species in leaf hydraulics and in leaf hydraulic trait coordination with stem hydraulic traits can be helpful in demonstrating the differences between diffuse-porous and ring-porous tree species in plant water use, geological distribution, leaf phenology and ecological adaptation.

We compared hydraulic traits of branches and leaves in three diffuse-porous deciduous tree species (*Populus*

基金项目:国家自然科学基金项目(3107054);中国科学院创新团队国际合作伙伴计划项目资助

收稿日期:2011-10-28; **修订日期:**2012-03-26

* 通讯作者 Corresponding author. E-mail: yyli@ms.iswc.ac.cn

tomentosa, *Platanus hispanica*, *Prunus serrulata*) and three ring-porous deciduous tree species (*Robinia pseudoacacia*, *Albizia julibrissin*, *Fraxinus chinensis*) growing in northwestern China. Branch and leaf water transport capacity was evaluated by their maximum hydraulic conductivities ($K_{\max}$), and the hydraulic vulnerability was evaluated with $P50$, which corresponds to the branch or leaf water potential at 50% loss of maximum hydraulic conductivities. For stems, $P50$ was inferred from the vulnerability curves generated by air injection or bench dehydration method. For leaves, the curves were constructed by measuring hydraulic conductance (K_{leaf}) in leaves rehydrated from a range of water potentials (ψ_{leaf}). K_{leaf} was measured by assessing kinetics of ψ_{leaf} relaxation upon leaf rehydration.

The results showed that branch cross-sectional area-based maximum specific conductivities ($K_{s-\max}$) of the ring-porous species were greater than those of the diffuse-porous species. Ring-porous species were more vulnerable to cavitation ($P50_{\text{branch}}$) than diffuse-porous species and a tradeoff relationship was evident between $K_{s-\max}$ and $P50_{\text{branch}}$ in branches. No differences were found between leaf water transport capacities ($K_{l-\max}$) or the vulnerability to hydraulic dysfunction ($P50_{\text{leaf}}$) in the two species types, and there was no tradeoff relationship between $K_{l-\max}$ and $P50_{\text{leaf}}$. In the three diffuse-porous species, leaves were more vulnerable than branches to water stress-induced dysfunction, but in the ring-porous species, branches were more vulnerable than leaves. Pearson correlation analysis indicated that there was no correlation between branch and leaf hydraulic traits ($K_{\max}$ and $P50$) in the six investigated woody species. These results suggest that in our study: (1) diffuse-porous and ring-porous species diverged mainly in branch but not in leaf hydraulics, so that leaf hydraulics alone cannot be used to explain the differences between these two tree types in ecological function and adaptation. (2) Branch and leaf hydraulic traits were relatively independent and may be correlated with branch and leaf structure, respectively.

Key Words: diffuse-porous species; ring-porous species; branch and leaf; hydraulic traits

植物不同器官(根、茎和叶)的水力学特性是整株植物水力结构的重要组成部分,对这方面的研究大多集中在茎上,根次之,对叶的水力学特性由于研究方法的限制相对开展较少。近来研究表明:叶中的水分传输阻力可占整株植物水分传输阻力的 30%—80%,是植物水分传输的主要瓶颈之一,并直接制约着叶片的生理功能如光合和蒸腾^[1]。和茎相比,叶水力特征可能处于更强的选择压力下,因而对空穴化可能更敏感^[2-3]。研究叶的水力学特性对于了解植物整体水分关系和其它生理功能都有十分重要的意义。

植物的木质部是其水分运输的主要通道,按照茎木质部的孔性特征,温带被子植物可粗分为散孔材和环孔材两类。散孔材树种导管在一个年轮里分布均匀,导管数目较多、孔径较小、导管较短,且在从水滨边到干旱地区均广泛分布;而环孔材树种在一个年轮里早材管孔比晚材管孔大,早材中导管稀少、导管较长,环孔材是在散孔材基础上进化而来,主要分布在北温带^[4]。环孔材和散孔材茎木质部的结构特征导致其水分传输特性存在显著差异。环孔材树种茎干水分传输能力和存贮能力明显高于散孔材树种^[5],但其更容易遭受栓塞的威胁^[4,6-8]。叶的水力学特性是否也表现如此,尚不清楚。为此,本研究选择了 3 种散孔材和 3 种环孔材落叶树种,对其枝干与叶的水力学特性同时进行了研究,以揭示散孔材与环孔材树种水力结构的差异,为阐释散孔材与环孔材树种在水分利用能力、物候、地理分布等方面的差异^[7-9]提供理论依据。

1 材料与方法

1.1 材料

选取陕西杨凌常见的 6 种绿化植物,包括 3 种散孔材树种:毛白杨(*Populus tomentosa*)、法国梧桐(*Platanus hispanica*)、樱花(*Prunus serrulata*)和 3 种环孔材树种:刺槐(*Robinia pseudoacacia*)、合欢(*Albizia julibrissin*)、白蜡树(*Fraxinus chinensis*)为研究对象。所选树种均为落叶树种,树龄均在 20a 左右。其中合欢、樱花、白蜡的胸径为 20—25 cm,树高为 7—10 m,毛白杨、梧桐和刺槐的胸径为 35—40 cm,树高为 12—15 m。选取树冠上部光照条件好、无病虫害的生长健康的叶和 2—3 年生枝干为研究对象。实验在 2011 年 8 月

进行。

1.2 测定项目与方法

1.2.1 枝干脆弱性曲线

枝干脆弱性曲线用两种方法测定,其中毛白杨、樱花、刺槐、合欢和白蜡的枝干用空气注入法测定^[10],梧桐的枝干用自然风干的方法测定^[11],所用枝干长度均为 25—30 cm。

空气注入法是把一定长度的茎段先用 10 kPa 压力的冲洗液(20 mmol/L KCl+1 mmol/L CaCl₂)冲洗以消除原位栓塞,然后将茎段放入特制的压力腔中且两端露出,压力腔上连接一压力表,茎段近轴端与有一定静水压的水头和气泡排出管连接,在茎段远轴端用已称重的装有吸水纸的离心管每隔一定时间来收集单位时间的出流量,稳定后的出流量即为水流通量 Q (g/min),用以计算导水率($K_h = Q/\Delta P/L$, ΔP 为所用静水压水头, L 为茎段长度)和比导水率($K_s = K_h/S$, S 为茎干横截面积)。测定导水率的溶液和冲洗液相同。初始的最大导水率(K_{h-max})测定后,在第一设定压力下加压 10 min 以诱导栓塞的形成,停止加压至压力充分释放后,然后测定相应导水率(K_{hi}),依此类推,直至导水率损失($PLC = (1 - K_{hi}/K_{h-max}) \times 100$)达 80% 以上。所用压力和相应的 PLC 之间关系的曲线即为枝干的脆弱性曲线。

自然风干的方法是将样品采集后,充分饱和,然后在室内逐渐失水,在每一水分梯度下测定枝干上包裹的叶水势来替代枝干木质部水势,完后测定原位枝干的导水率(K_{hi}),再用 10 kPa 压力的冲洗液冲洗枝干后,测定相应枝干的最大导水率(K_{h-max}),导水率损失 PLC (%) 计算同上。导水率测定溶液和冲洗液均为 20 mmol/L KCl+1 mmol/L CaCl₂。

所有枝干脆弱性曲线测定重复 3 次,且用 Weibull 分布函数 $PLC/100 = 1 - \exp(-(-x/b)^c)$ 来拟合^[12],其中 b 为最大导水率下降 63.2% 时所对应的木质部压力的绝对值, c 为在 b 时曲线的陡度, b 值越大,曲线越陡。通过拟合求得导水率损失 50% 时所对应的木质部水势 $P50_{branch}$ 来代表枝干抵抗空穴化能力的大小。

1.2.2 叶脆弱性曲线

叶脆弱性曲线采用 Brodribb 等提出的叶水势松弛法^[13]测定。清晨在不同树上采集带叶的枝条,塑料袋包裹后迅速带回实验室,然后在实验室下失水不同时间,以形成不同的叶水势梯度。3 种散孔材树种均用单叶,而 3 种环孔材树种叶较小,均用复叶测定。每一叶水势梯度下用压力室测定叶初始水势 ψ_0 ,然后在水下切断相邻叶并使之复水不同时间(30—300 s),完后立即取出擦干,测定不同复水时间后的相应叶水势 ψ_t 。由于干燥叶的复水过程与通过单个电阻的单个电容的充电过程相类似,因而叶水力导度 $K_{leaf} = C_{leaf} \ln(\psi_0/\psi_t)/t$,其中 C_{leaf} 为叶水容, t 为复水时间。

叶水容(C_{leaf} , mmol·m⁻²·MPa⁻¹)通过 PV 曲线的斜率来确定。利用 Tyree 等提出的自然风干法制作 PV 曲线^[14],利用美国加州大学 Schulte 等编制的 PV 曲线分析程序拟合^[15],求得膨压损失点 ψ_{lp} 。然后以叶相对含水量(RWC)对叶水势(ψ_t)作图,求得膨压损失点 ψ_{lp} 之前和之后线性部分的斜率 $\partial RWC/\partial \psi_t$ (MPa⁻¹)用以计算膨压损失点之前和之后的叶水容。叶水容计算如下:

$$C_{leaf} = \frac{\partial RWC}{\partial \psi_t} \times \frac{DW}{LA} \times \frac{WW}{DW} \times \frac{1}{M}$$

式中, DW 为叶片干重(g), LA 为叶面积(m²), WW 为饱和时叶片中的水量(=叶饱和重-叶干重,g), M 为水的摩尔质量(g/mol)。叶面积通过照相后,用图像分析软件求得。

叶水势(ψ_t)和水力导度 K_{leaf} 之间关系的曲线即为叶的脆弱性曲线。叶的脆弱性曲线用一个 3 参数的 Sigmoid 函数拟合^[16],

$$y = \frac{a}{1 + e^{-(x-x_0)/b}}$$

当叶水势为 0 时,即可求得叶最大水力导度 $K_{leaf-max}$ 。当叶水力导度为最大水力导度的 50% 时所对应的叶水势即为 $P50_{leaf}$,代表了叶水分传输功能对干旱胁迫的脆弱性。

2 结果

2.1 散孔材与环孔材树种枝干的水力学特性

图1为6种树木枝干的脆弱性曲线。从中可看出,3种散孔材树种毛白杨、梧桐和樱花枝干的脆弱性曲线均为S型曲线,而3种环孔材树种导水率在-1 MPa前下降很快,在-1 MPa时,3种环孔材树种的导水率已损失75%以上。Weibull函数较好地模拟了6个树种枝干的脆弱性曲线。3种散孔材树种的 $P50_{\text{branch}}$ 为-1.80—-3.49 MPa,平均为-2.55 MPa,而3种环孔材树种的 $P50_{\text{branch}}$ 为-0.07—-0.65 MPa,平均为-0.27 MPa。环孔材树种的 $P50_{\text{branch}}$ 显著高于散孔材树种(独立样品 t 检验, $P<0.05$),表明环孔材树种枝干抵抗空穴化的能力明显弱于散孔材树种。

3种环孔材树种枝干的最大比导水率 $K_{s-\max}$ 显著高于3种散孔材树种(独立样品 t 检验, $P<0.05$)(图1)。




图1 3种散孔材和3种环孔材树种枝干的脆弱性曲线

Fig. 1 Branch vulnerability curves for three diffuse- and three ring-porous species

图中竖线表示 $P50$; $P50$ 和 $K_{s-\max}$ 的单位分别为MPa和 $\text{kg}\cdot\text{MPa}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$

6 种树木枝干的最大比导水率 K_{s-max} 和 $P50_{branch}$ 之间呈显著的负相关关系(表 1),表明不同树种间枝干的水分传输能力和抵抗空穴化能力之间存在着一种相互制约的权衡关系。

表 1 6 种树木枝干与叶水力学特性的相关性分析($n=6$)

Table 1 Pearson correlations between branch and leaf hydraulic traits of six woody species

	枝干最大比导水率 Maximum specific hydraulic conductivity of branch (K_{s-max})	枝干 $P50$ Branch water potential at 50% loss of K_{s-max}	叶最大水力导度 Leaf maximum hydraulic conductance (K_{l-max})	叶 $P50$ Leaf water potential at 50% of K_{l-max}
枝干最大比导水率 Maximum specific hydraulic conductivity of branch (K_{s-max})	1			
枝干 $P50$ Branch water potential at 50% loss of K_{s-max}	0.868 *	1		
叶最大水力导度 Leaf maximum hydraulic conductance (K_{l-max})	-0.576 ^{ns}	-0.497 ^{ns}	1	
叶 $P50$ Leaf water potential at 50% of K_{l-max}	0.339 ^{ns}	0.451 ^{ns}	-0.767 ^{ns}	1

* $P<0.05$, ns 不显著

2.2 散孔材和环孔材树种叶的水力学特性

图 2 为 6 种树木叶的脆弱性曲线。3 种散孔材树种的叶最大水力导度 K_{l-max} 为 9.08—30.44 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{MPa}^{-1}$, 平均为 20.41 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{MPa}^{-1}$; 3 种环孔材树种的 K_{l-max} 为 5.62—20.45 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{MPa}^{-1}$, 平均为 10.61 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{MPa}^{-1}$, 3 种散孔材树种的 K_{l-max} 与 3 种环孔材树种相比并无显著差异(独立样品 t 检验, $P>0.05$)。3 种散孔材树种叶的 $P50_{leaf}$ 为 -1.40—-1.76 MPa, 平均为 -1.62 MPa; 3 种环孔材树种的 $P50_{leaf}$ 为 -0.74—-1.86 MPa, 平均为 -1.34 MPa, 二者亦无显著差异(独立样品 t 检验, $P>0.05$)。6 个树种叶的 K_{l-max} 和 $P50_{leaf}$ 之间并无显著的相关关系(表 1)。

2.3 散孔材与环孔材树种枝干和叶的相对水力脆弱性

Perason 相关分析表明:6 个树种的枝干和叶的 K_{max} 之间并无显著的相关关系,枝干和叶的 $P50$ 之间亦无显著的相关关系(表 1),表明 6 个树种枝干与叶的水力性质是相互独立的。3 个散孔材树种叶的 $P50$ 要高于枝干,表明对散孔材而言叶比茎更脆弱;而 3 个环孔材树种叶的 $P50$ 要小于枝干,表明环孔材树种茎比叶更脆弱(图 3)。

3 讨论

前人研究表明:环孔材树种最大水分传输能力强于散孔材树种,但抵抗空穴化的能力弱于散孔材树种^[4-8],本研究也证实如此。不同树种枝干水分传输能力和抵抗空穴化的能力主要与其木质部结构有关。水分传输能力主要决定于木质部导管数目、大小、锥度、纹孔膜的阻力和木质部汁液组成成分等,而抵抗空穴化能力主要与木质部导管大小和长度,导管纹孔膜的尺寸,纹孔膜的弹性及木材密度等有关^[17]。环孔材树种导管直径大于散孔材树种,但导管数目少于散孔材树种,按照 Hagen-Poiseuille 方程,导水率与导管直径的 4 次方成正比,与导管数目为累加关系,因而环孔材树种导水率应大于散孔材树种。按照“气种学说”,栓塞形成主要决定于导管之间纹孔膜上的微孔,微孔直径越大,越容易形成栓塞。环孔材上大的导管直径一方面增加了纹孔膜上大微孔的几率,另外也可能降低了导管管壁的机械强度,从而使其比散孔材抵抗空穴化的能力弱。散孔材和环孔材树种水力性质的差异反映了其不同的水分利用策略,环孔材树种在无栓塞发生时通过高的水分传输能力来充分获取水分,而散孔材树种则通过低水分传输能力来获取水分以延缓栓塞的发生。

本研究中 6 种树木叶的最大水力导度 $K_{leaf-max}$ 为 5.62—30.44 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{MPa}^{-1}$, 平均为 15.51 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{MPa}^{-1}$, 与 Brodribb 等报道被子植物的叶最大水力导度介于 3.9—36 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{MPa}^{-1}$ 之间^[18]




图2 3种散孔材与3种环孔材树种的叶脆弱性曲线

Fig. 2 Leaf hydraulic vulnerability curves for three diffuse- and three ring-porous species

图中竖线为 $P50_{\text{leaf}}$, $P50_{\text{leaf}}$ 和 $K_{\text{leaf-max}}$ 的单位分别为 MPa 和 $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{MPa}^{-1}$

一致。叶最大水力导度主要决定于叶中脉的木质部导管直径、叶脉分枝结构^[1,19]及叶肉细胞结构,如叶上表皮的厚度、栅栏组织的厚度和叶片厚度、栅栏/海绵组织相对比例、叶水容等^[20-21],6种植物的叶最大水力导度的差异反映了其结构的差异。3种散孔材树种和3种环孔材树种的叶最大水力导度并无显著差异,且6种树木上叶最大水力导度和枝干的最大比导水率之间并无显著相关性(表1),表明叶水分传输效率并不受茎水分传输能力的影响。茎与叶水分传输能力的解耦联可能与茎与叶的水分传输能力决定于各自的木质部解剖结构;叶中水分传输受气孔调节的影响,而茎中水分传输主要受水凝胶的调控;叶中水势与气孔导度存在生理反馈关系等原因有关。

干旱时叶水力导度会下降,其原因主要与叶木质部空穴化^[22]、细叶脉中木质部导管的变形或塌陷^[23]和木质部以外叶肉细胞中膜透性和水孔蛋白表达下降^[24]等有关。本研究中6种树种的 $P50_{\text{leaf}}$ 为-0.74—




图3 散孔材和环孔材树种的枝干和叶的水力脆弱性比较

Fig. 3 Comparison of hydraulic vulnerability of branches and leaves in diffuse- and ring-porous species

PT. 毛白杨 *P. tomentosa*; PH. 法国梧桐 *P. hispanica*; PS. 樱花 *P. serrulata*; RP. 刺槐 *R. pseudoacacia*; AJ. 合欢 *A. julibrissin*; FC. 白蜡树 *F. chinensis*. 横线为各组平均值

-1.86 MPa, Blackman 等报道 20 种不同抗旱性的被子植物中,大多数植物的 $P50_{\text{leaf}}$ 介于 -1—-3 MPa 之间^[23],本研究中除合欢外的 5 种植物的 $P50_{\text{leaf}}$ 均在这一范围内。少数植物的 $P50_{\text{leaf}}$ 可能低于 -1 MPa,如荒漠生境中马鞭草科的一种植物 *Aegiphila Lhotzkiana* Cham 和大戟科的一种落叶树木 *Bischofia javanica* 的 $P50_{\text{leaf}}$ 为 -0.8 MPa^[2,20]。本研究表明散孔材树种与散孔材树种的 $P50_{\text{leaf}}$ 并无显著差异,且 6 个树种枝干与叶的 $P50$ 之间并无必然联系,表明茎与叶的水力脆弱性是相对独立的。在散孔材落叶树种上,叶比枝干对栓塞更敏感;而在环孔材树种上,枝干比叶对栓塞更敏感。Hao 等发现对于高土壤蒸发需求和潜在低土壤水分有效性的荒漠和临近的森林生境而言,由于叶比茎处于更强的选择压力下,导致叶比茎干对于干旱诱导的水力功能失调更敏感^[2]。Johnson 等也发现美国东部 2 种落叶散孔材树种 *Acer rubrum*, *Liriodendron tulipifera* 叶的 $P50$ 要高于茎,表明叶的水力脆弱性要高于茎^[3]。从植物整体水力结构看,叶比茎更脆弱有利于水分胁迫下植物先损失建造成本低的叶,从而保证茎干的存活,起到安全阀的作用。相比于茎干,叶木质部临近活组织,因而比茎干中的栓塞更易于修复^[25]。但本研究发现对 3 种环孔材落叶树种并非如此。Chen 等在大戟科 3 种落叶树种上发现,叶与茎干的水力脆弱性相当^[20]。Johnson 等在环孔材落叶树种美国红橡 (*Quercus rubra*) 上发现叶和枝干的 $P50$ 分别为 -1.7 和 -0.5 MPa^[26],表明茎比叶更脆弱。由于茎与叶水力脆弱性决定于各自的解剖结构,因而导致环孔材枝干的水力脆弱性比叶高。环孔材树种一般叶水势高,茎干水容大^[8],因而可能通过枝干的高水力脆弱性把茎干中贮存的水分提供给叶,特别是在土壤水分胁迫时。同时,环孔材树种气孔的调节能力也比散孔材树种强^[4],因而可有效降低栓塞的威胁。一些环孔材树种即使在导水率损失 80% 以上仍能正常生长,其高的最大导水率保证茎干即使在严重空穴化时叶也有良好的水分供应^[27]。

本研究表明:用脆弱性曲线测定的散孔材树种与环孔材树种的叶最大水力导度和 $P50$ 无显著差异,但由于叶中经常发生栓塞的修复^[25],因而本研究用脆弱性曲线测定的水力导度损失程度和田间原位的是否一致尚不清楚。此外,关于散孔材与环孔材树种叶水力学性质与气孔调节和水分利用等的关系如何,亦需要进行进一步研究。

References:

- [1] Sack L, Holbrook N M. Leaf hydraulics. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 2006, 57(1): 361-381.

- [2] Hao G Y, Hoffmann W A, Scholz F G, Bucci S J, Meinzer F C, Franco A C, Cao K F, Goldstein G. Stem and leaf hydraulics of congeneric tree species from adjacent tropical savanna and forest ecosystems. *Oecologia*, 2008, 155(3): 405-415.
- [3] Johnson D M, McCulloh K A, Meinzer F C, Woodruff D R, Eissenstat D M. Hydraulic patterns and safety margins, from stem to stomata, in three eastern US tree species. *Tree Physiology*, 2011, 31(6): 659-668.
- [4] Bush S E, Pataki D E, Hultine K R, West A G, Sperry J S, Ehleringer J R. Wood anatomy constrains stomatal responses to atmospheric vapor pressure deficit in irrigated, urban trees. *Oecologia*, 2008, 156(1): 13-20.
- [5] Lemeur R, Steppe K. Effects of ring-porous and diffuse-porous stem wood anatomy on the hydraulic parameters used in a water flow and storage model. *Tree Physiology*, 2007, 27(1): 43-52.
- [6] Sperry J S, Sullivan J E M. Xylem embolism in response to freeze-thaw cycles and water stress in ring-porous, diffuse-porous, and conifer species. *Plant Physiology*, 1992, 100(2): 605-613.
- [7] Sperry J S, Nichols K L, Sullivan J E M, Eastlack S E. Xylem embolism in ring-porous, diffuse-porous, and coniferous trees of northern Utah and interior Alaska. *Ecology*, 1994, 75(6): 1736-1752.
- [8] Taneda H, Sperry J S. A case-study of water transport in co-occurring ring- vs. diffuse-porous trees: contrasts in water-status, conducting capacity, cavitation and vessel refilling. *Tree Physiology*, 2008, 28(11): 1641-1651.
- [9] Wang J, Ives N E, Lechowicz M J. The relation of foliar phenology to xylem embolism in trees. *Functional Ecology*, 1992, 6(4): 469-475.
- [10] Sperry J S, Saliendra N Z. Intra- and Inter-plant variation in xylem cavitation in *Betula occidentalis*. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 1994, 17(11): 1233-1241.
- [11] Sperry J S, Donnelly J R, Tyree M T. A method for measuring hydraulic conductivity and embolism in xylem. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 1988, 11(1): 35-40.
- [12] Neufeld H S, Grantz D A, Meinzer F C, Goldstein G, Crisosto G M, Crisosto C. Genotypic variability in vulnerability of leaf xylem to cavitation in water-stressed and well-irrigated sugarcane. *Plant Physiology*, 1992, 100(2): 1020-1028.
- [13] Brodribb T J, Holbrook N M. Stomatal closure during leaf dehydration, correlation with other leaf physiological traits. *Plant Physiology*, 2003, 132(4): 2166-2173.
- [14] Tyree M T, Hammel H T. The measurement of the turgor pressure and the water relations of plants by the pressure-bomb technique. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 1972, 23(1): 267-282.
- [15] Schulte P J, Hinckley T M. A comparison of pressure-volume curve data analysis techniques. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 1985, 36(10): 1590-1602.
- [16] Woodruff D R, McCulloh K A, Warren J M, Meinzer F C, Lachenbruch B. Impacts of tree height on leaf hydraulic architecture and stomatal control in Douglas-fir. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 2007, 30(5): 559-569.
- [17] Fan D Y, Xie Z Q. Several controversial viewpoints in studying the cavitation of xylem vessels. *Acta Phytocologica Sinica*, 2004, 28(1): 126-132.
- [18] Brodribb T J, Holbrook N M, Zwieniecki M A, Palma B. Leaf hydraulic capacity in ferns, conifers and angiosperms: impacts on photosynthetic maxima. *New Phytologist*, 2005, 165(3): 839-846.
- [19] Sack L, Frole K. Leaf structural diversity is related to hydraulic capacity in tropical rain forest trees. *Ecology*, 2006, 87(2): 483-491.
- [20] Chen J W, Zhang Q, Li X S, Cao K F. Independence of stem and leaf hydraulic traits in six Euphorbiaceae tree species with contrasting leaf phenology. *Planta*, 2009, 230(3): 459-468.
- [21] Sack L, Cowan P D, Jaikumar N, Holbrook N M. The 'hydrology' of leaves: co-ordination of structure and function in temperate woody species. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 2003, 26(8): 1343-1356.
- [22] Johnson D M, Meinzer F C, Woodruff D R, McCulloh K A. Leaf xylem embolism, detected acoustically and by cryo-SEM, corresponds to decreases in leaf hydraulic conductance in four evergreen species. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 2009, 32(7): 828-836.
- [23] Blackman C J, Brodribb T J, Jordan G J. Leaf hydraulic vulnerability is related to conduit dimensions and drought resistance across a diverse range of woody angiosperms. *New Phytologist*, 2010, 188(4): 1113-1123.
- [24] Nardini A, Salleo S, Andri S. Circadian regulation of leaf hydraulic conductance in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L. cv Margot). *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 2005, 28(6): 750-759.
- [25] Zwieniecki M A, Holbrook N M. Confronting Maxwell's demon: biophysics of xylem embolism repair. *Trends in Plant Science*, 2009, 14(10): 530-534.
- [26] Johnson D M, McCulloh K A, Meinzer F C, Lachenbruch B. The terminal portion of the plant hydraulic continuum: branch and leaf vulnerabilities to hydraulic dysfunction//Second International Conference on Forests and Water in a Changing Climate. Raleigh NC, 2009.
- [27] Li Y Y, Sperry J S, Taneda H, Bush S E, Hacke U G. Evaluation of centrifugal methods for measuring xylem cavitation in conifers, diffuse- and ring-porous angiosperms. *New Phytologist*, 2008, 177(2): 558-568.

参考文献:

- [17] 樊大勇, 谢宗强. 木质部导管空穴化研究中的几个热点问题. *植物生态学报*, 2004, 28(1): 126-132.

《生态学报》2012 年征订启事

《生态学报》是中国生态学学会主办的自然科学高级学术期刊,创刊于 1981 年。主要报道生态学研究原始创新性科研成果,特别欢迎能反映现代生态学发展方向的优秀综述性文章;研究简报;生态学新理论、新方法、新技术介绍;新书评介和学术、科研动态及开放实验室介绍等。

《生态学报》为半月刊,大 16 开本,280 页,国内定价 70 元/册,全年定价 1680 元。

国内邮发代号:82-7 国外邮发代号:M670 标准刊号:ISSN 1000-0933 CN 11-2031/Q

全国各地邮局均可订阅,也可直接与编辑部联系购买。欢迎广大科技工作者、科研单位、高等院校、图书馆等订阅。

通讯地址:100085 北京海淀区双清路 18 号 电 话:(010)62941099; 62843362

E-mail: shengtaixuebao@rcees.ac.cn 网 址: www.ecologica.cn

编辑部主任 孔红梅 执行编辑 刘天星 段 靖

生 态 学 报
(SHENGTAI XUEBAO)
(半月刊 1981 年 3 月创刊)
第 32 卷 第 16 期 (2012 年 8 月)

ACTA ECOLOGICA SINICA
(Semimonthly, Started in 1981)
Vol. 32 No. 16 (August, 2012)

编 辑	《生态学报》编辑部 地址:北京海淀区双清路 18 号 邮政编码:100085 电话:(010)62941099 www.ecologica.cn shengtaixuebao@rcees.ac.cn	Edited by	Editorial board of ACTA ECOLOGICA SINICA Add:18, Shuangqing Street, Haidian, Beijing 100085, China Tel: (010)62941099 www.ecologica.cn Shengtaixuebao@rcees.ac.cn
主 编	冯宗炜	Editor-in-chief	FENG Zong-Wei
主 管	中国科学技术协会	Supervised by	China Association for Science and Technology
主 办	中国生态学学会 中国科学院生态环境研究中心 地址:北京海淀区双清路 18 号 邮政编码:100085	Sponsored by	Ecological Society of China Research Center for Eco-environmental Sciences, CAS Add:18, Shuangqing Street, Haidian, Beijing 100085, China
出 版	科 学 出 版 社 地址:北京东黄城根北街 16 号 邮政编码:1000717	Published by	Science Press Add:16 Donghuangchenggen North Street, Beijing 1000717, China
印 刷	北京北林印刷厂	Printed by	Beijing Bei Lin Printing House, Beijing 100083, China
发 行	科 学 出 版 社 地址:东黄城根北街 16 号 邮政编码:1000717 电话:(010)64034563 E-mail: journal@cspg.net	Distributed by	Science Press Add:16 Donghuangchenggen North Street, Beijing 1000717, China Tel: (010)64034563 E-mail: journal@cspg.net
订 购	全国各地邮局	Domestic	All Local Post Offices in China
国外发行	中国国际图书贸易总公司 地址:北京 399 信箱 邮政编码:100044	Foreign	China International Book Trading Corporation Add: P. O. Box 399 Beijing 100044, China
广告经营 许 可 证	京海工商广字第 8013 号		



ISSN 1000-0933
CN 11-2031/Q

国内外公开发行

国内邮发代号 82-7

国外发行代号 M670

定价 70.00 元